## POSTER #15

## **Optimizing Case-Based Learning: An evaluation of tutor and first year MD student perceptions of group size and format variations**

Meghan Kerr<sup>1</sup>, Juehea Lee<sup>1</sup>, Anne McLeod<sup>1,2</sup>, Joyce Nyhof-Young<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>MD Program, University of Toronto

<sup>2</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Toronto

<sup>3</sup>Office of Assessment and Evaluation, MD Program, University of Toronto

<sup>4</sup> Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of Toronto

juehea.lee@mail.utoronto.ca; meghan.kerr@mail.utoronto.ca;

**Introduction:** In 2016, Case-based Learning (CBL) was integrated into the first two years of the University of Toronto's MD Program. Since then, iterative CBL delivery revisions have included variable CBL group sizes: small (8-10 students) and intermediate (46-60 students), and variable CBL formats: patient narratives (detailed written dialogues between patients and medical students eliciting histories and physical exam findings) and case-reports (succinct patient history and physical examination summaries). First-year medical student and physician tutor experiences with these CBL formats and group sizes were explored to gain insight into their strengths and limitations for clinical skills training.

**Methods:** A mixed-methods, developmental evaluation approach using surveys, focus groups, and interviews was employed. <sup>1</sup> Survey responses were reported as means and standard deviations. Descriptive thematic analysis was conducted for narrative data. Tutor and student focus group, survey and interview data were triangulated.

**Results:** Thirty-eight first-year medical students (14% response rate) and twelve physician tutors (15% response rate) completed surveys. Four student focus groups (n=28) were conducted. Three physician tutors participated in interviews. Students and tutors felt small-group CBL superseded intermediate-group CBL in fostering safe and engaging learning environments. Both groups appeared to benefit from combined CBL formats, recommended use of patient-narratives during early months of training, and highlighted the role of tutor continuity in fostering a safe and tailored learning environment.

**Conclusions:** Study results have useful implications for health profession education programs such as ours developing CBL curricula; recommendations can help promote safer and more effective CBL learning and teaching experiences.

## **References:**

1. Patton MQ. *Developmental Evaluation: Applying Complexity Concepts to Enhance Innovation and Use.* 1 edition. New York: The Guilford Press, 2010.